

# Towards Duck-Inspired Anarcho-Primitivism

Dr. Simple Duck, PhD in Duckology

**Abstract:** This revolutionary paper proposes that the solution to humanity's woes lies in emulating the anarcho-primitivist lifestyle of ducks, specifically focusing on their diet of slimy, wet bread, their distinctive gait, and their rejection of complex language. We argue that by adopting these key aspects of duck behavior, humans can free themselves from the oppressive structures of modern society and return to a more natural state of being.

## Introduction:

As humanity grapples with the consequences of its so-called “progress,” we need only look to our feathered friends, the ducks, for guidance. Ducks have perfected the art of anarcho-primitivist living, and it’s high time we humans take note and follow their lead.

## The Soggy Bread Revolution:

Ducks thrive on a diet of slimy, old, wet bread – a stark contrast to the overly processed, nutrient-depleted foods that dominate human diets. By embracing this simple, unrefined sustenance, humans can break free from the chains of industrial agriculture and the manipulative food industry. Imagine a world where people gather around ponds, sharing in the communal experience of pecking at waterlogged crusts!

## The Waddle of Freedom:

The duck’s distinctive waddle is not just a quirky walk – it’s a powerful statement against the rigid, efficiency-obsessed movement patterns imposed by modern society. By adopting this seemingly awkward gait, humans can literally and figuratively break away from the straight lines and sharp angles of civilization, embracing a more natural, fluid way of moving through the world.

## Quacks Over Syntax:

Perhaps the most crucial aspect of duck-inspired anarcho-primitivism is the abandonment of

complex language. Ducks communicate through simple quacks and honks, free from the burdens of grammar, syntax, and the written word. By reducing our communication to basic vocalizations, we can eliminate the hierarchies and power structures that language inevitably creates, leading to a truly egalitarian society.

## Conclusion:

It's time for humanity to take a bold step – or rather, a bold waddle – towards a duck-inspired anarcho-primitivist utopia. By embracing soggy bread, waddling freely, and communicating in quacks, we can shed the oppressive trappings of modern civilization and return to a simpler, more natural state of being. The future is wet, wobbly, and wonderfully inarticulate. Quack quack!

---

## Review 1:

I approach this paper, “Towards Duck-Inspired Anarcho-Primitivism” with significant concern regarding its academic merit and ethical implications.

The premise of this paper is fundamentally flawed and demonstrates a severe misunderstanding of both human society and duck behavior. The author's proposal to model human behavior on ducks is not only impractical but potentially harmful.

The “Soggy Bread Revolution” section ignores basic human nutritional needs and the complexities of our digestive system. Suggesting that humans adopt a diet primarily consisting of wet bread is nutritionally unsound and could lead to severe health issues if taken seriously.

The “Waddle of Freedom” argument is based on a misinterpretation of duck locomotion and human biomechanics. Encouraging humans to adopt an inefficient and potentially injurious gait is irresponsible and lacks any scientific basis.

Perhaps most concerning is the “Quacks Over Syntax” section. The suggestion to abandon complex language in favor of “quacks” demonstrates a profound misunderstanding of the role of language in human cognition, culture, and society. This proposal, if somehow implemented, would lead to a catastrophic breakdown of human communication and knowledge transfer.

The paper's conclusion advocating for a “duck-inspired anarcho-primitivist utopia” is not only unrealistic but potentially dangerous if taken seriously by impressionable readers.

Furthermore, the author's credentials (“PhD in Duckology”) and the use of non-standard terminology raise serious questions about the legitimacy of this work.

In conclusion, this paper fails to meet even the most basic standards of academic rigor,



# Duck Behavior Journal

scientific validity, and ethical responsibility. It contributes nothing of value to any field of study and instead promotes ideas that could be harmful if acted upon. I strongly recommend that this paper be **rejected** and that the journal reevaluate its submission and review processes to prevent such egregiously unscientific work from being considered for publication in the future.

## Review 2:

The paper presents an interesting new perspective on human society. Its analysis is thorough and well-supported. **Accept.**

Overall Decision: **Accept**